

PALGRAVE
GLOBAL MEDIA
POLICY AND
BUSINESS SERIES



**PUBLIC SPHERES AND
MEDIATED SOCIAL
NETWORKS IN THE
WESTERN CONTEXT
AND BEYOND**

**PETROS IOSIFIDIS &
MARK WHEELER**



Palgrave Global Media Policy and Business

Series Editors

Petros Iosifidis

City University

London, United Kingdom

Jeanette Steemers

University of Westminster

London, United Kingdom

Gerald Sussman

Portland State University

Portland, Oregon, USA

This innovative series examines the wider social, political, economic and technological changes arising from the globalization of the media and communications industries and assesses their impact on matters of business practice, regulation and policy. Considering media convergence, industry concentration, and new communications practices, the series makes reference to the paradigmatic shift from a system based on national decision-making and the traditions of public service in broadcast and telecommunications delivery to one that is demarcated by commercialization, privatization and monopolization. Bearing in mind this shift, and based on a multi-disciplinary approach, the series tackles three key questions: To what extent do new media developments require changes in regulatory philosophy and objectives? To what extent do new technologies and changing media consumption require changes in business practices and models? And to what extent does privatization alter the creative freedom and public accountability of media enterprises?

Editorial Advisory Board

Sandra Braman, UM-Milwaukee, USA

Peter Dahlgren, Lund University, Sweden

Terry Flew, Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Charles Fombad, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Manuel Alejandro Guerrero, Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico

Alison Harcourt, University of Exeter, UK

Robin Mansell, LSE, UK

Richard Maxwell, Queen's College CUNY, USA

Toby Miller, City University London, UK

Zizi Papacharissi, University of Illinois-Chicago, USA

Stylianos Papathanassopoulos, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

Caroline Pauwels, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium

Robert Picard, University of Oxford, UK

Kiran Prasad, Sri Padmavati Mahila University, India

Marc Raboy, McGill University, Canada

Chang Yong Son, Korean Communications Commission

Miklos Suksod, University of Hong Kong

Kenton T. Wilkinson, Texas Tech University, USA

Sugmin Youn, Seoul National University, Korea

More information about this series at

<http://www.springer.com/series/14699>

Petros Iosifidis • Mark Wheeler

Public Spheres and
Mediated Social
Networks in the
Western Context and
Beyond

palgrave
macmillan

Petros Iosifidis
Department of Sociology
City University, London
United Kingdom

Mark Wheeler
Politics and International Relations
London Metropolitan University
London, United Kingdom

Palgrave Global Media Policy and Business
ISBN 978-1-137-41029-0 ISBN 978-1-137-41030-6 (eBook)
DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-41030-6

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016939280

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016

The author(s) has/have asserted their right(s) to be identified as the author(s) of this work in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Macmillan Publishers Ltd. London

FOREWORD

Over the past two decades since the emergence and spread of the Internet, a consensus of sorts has emerged: while there were at first some dismissive voices who contended that it would have little impact on the political world, today most observers concur that, especially in regard to social media, modern communication technologies have impacted profoundly on politics and participation. The problem is that there is still no overarching agreement in terms of how and to what extent this impact takes place, and what significance it has for democratic politics. It has become commonplace to identify ‘optimists’ and ‘pessimists’ among the participants in these debates, and while such labels are to some extent valid, they do not, per se, provide us with much analytic insight. All too often in the past, the questions themselves were formulated in a totalising way: *either* the web, with its social media and many affordances, is good for the democracy and the public sphere, *or* it is detrimental—with expectations set on a once-and-for-all answer.

In recent years we have happily seen more nuanced approaches to the web and democracy. These underscore the variegated character of democratic systems and politics; for example, the issue of governance is increasingly added to the more familiar question of the inclusion/exclusion of citizens’ communicative participation. Furthermore, public spheres are highlighted as multiplex and historically specific phenomena. Their contingencies cannot be reduced to media technologies, but rather comprise social and cultural dimensions as well, including of course how citizens—and various institutional actors, such as political and economic elites, professional journalists (and increasingly, citizen journalists)—make use of them. Thus, in terms of normatively evaluating the ‘success’ of any given public sphere

phenomenon, one must look beyond, for instance, the extent to which participants follow suitable forms of online deliberation; while important, it is imperative to also take into account a broad array of societal factors.

A key thematic in this regard, present in Habermas' original formulation—and central to what we might in shorthand call the critical tradition—is the question of power relations in regard to public spheres. With all the possible vectors involved, this is by no means an easily specified dimension, and though it has largely not been ignored, it has often been simplified. Here too, more recent research is widening its perspective: the role of the web in public spheres is seen as shaped by features having to do with its political economy, its technical attributes, its social usages and habitus—and how all of these aspects intersect with broader societal dynamics of power.

This growth of insight into what the analysis of public spheres and media technologies actually entails—this cumulative awareness of what is involved on this terrain—is manifested most impressively in this book by Petros Iosifidis and Mark Wheeler. Using an ambitious and innovative conceptual frame, they ask difficult questions regarding public spheres and social media—about governance, hierarchical power relationships and civic participation. The authors explore wider patterns of political communication among citizens, organisations and institutionalised actors, not least the recent rise in populist discourses. They probe the status of journalism and the capacities of power elites to shape online political communication. On a deeper level, there is an investigation of the communicative dynamics between knowledge and ignorance, and what they mean for democratic ideals and civic practices.

To answer these questions, Iosifidis and Wheeler take an approach that is both unusual in this research field and highly laudable: after their initial frame-establishing conceptual discussions, they turn to a comparative analysis, examining materials deriving from both Western liberal democracies and the so-called BRICS countries—which represent an array of both struggling democracies and authoritarian regimes. The mutually illuminated set of findings and conclusions are highly gratifying. Iosifidis and Wheeler are probably indifferent to whether they are called pessimists or optimists; instead they have provided us with a truly fine contribution, a major leap forward in our knowledge and understanding. I am sure the authors would not claim that it offers once-and-for-all answers, but what they have written will no doubt elicit much appreciation—and considerable agreement.

CONTENTS

1 Introduction	1
<i>Bibliography</i>	8
Part I Theory and Practice	11
2 Social Media, Public Sphere and Democracy	13
<i>Introduction</i>	13
<i>The Traditional Public Sphere and the Mass Media</i>	15
<i>The Traditional Paradigm: The Public Sphere and the Media as the ‘Fourth Estate’</i>	15
<i>The Decline of the Traditional Public Sphere</i>	16
<i>The Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere: The Fifth Estate</i>	17
<i>The Globalisation of the Public Sphere</i>	18
<i>The Democratisation of the Public Sphere</i>	20
<i>Democratic Deficit: Putnam’s Concept of Social Capital</i>	21
<i>Social Media and the Public Sphere: John Keane’s Cautious View</i>	24
<i>The Internet’s Contribution to Politics: Bang’s Theory</i>	25
<i>The Public Interest and Media Governance</i>	27
<i>Social Media and Democracy</i>	28
<i>Unstructured Participation</i>	29
<i>Unreliable Information</i>	29

	<i>Censorship</i>	30
	<i>Corporate Online Activity and Privacy Concerns</i>	31
	<i>Absence of Critical Discussion</i>	33
	<i>Conclusion</i>	34
	<i>Bibliography</i>	35
3	The Political Economy of Social Media	39
	<i>Introduction</i>	39
	<i>Media Market Shifts</i>	43
	<i>Political Economy and the Role of the State</i>	45
	<i>The Growth of Social Media</i>	47
	<i>Political Economy, Social Media and Corporate Activity</i>	50
	<i>The Audience as a Commodity</i>	52
	<i>Public Service versus Public Choice Philosophies</i>	53
	<i>Social Media Policy Challenges: Intellectual Property Rights, Electronic Surveillance and Privacy Issues</i>	55
	<i>Conclusion</i>	59
	<i>Bibliography</i>	62
4	Western Media Policy Frameworks and Values	65
	<i>Introduction</i>	65
	<i>Media Governance and the Public Interest</i>	66
	<i>Media and Communications Policy in the USA</i>	69
	<i>Federal Communications Commission</i>	71
	<i>The FCC, Media Ownership Rules and Media Mergers</i>	72
	<i>The FCC and Convergence Policies</i>	74
	<i>Media and Communications Policy in the UK</i>	76
	<i>The Setting Up of Ofcom</i>	77
	<i>Media and Communications Policy in the EU</i>	80
	<i>Ongoing Transformations of the European Media Landscape</i>	82
	<i>Self- and Co-regulation</i>	84
	<i>Prioritising the Economic Imperative</i>	85
	<i>Conclusion</i>	88
	<i>Bibliography</i>	90

Part II Western Liberal Democratic Traditions, Grassroots Politics and the Social Media	93
5 Modern Political Communication and Web 2.0 in Representative Democracies: The United States and the British Experience	95
<i>Introduction</i>	95
<i>US Presidential Elections: The Internet from the Periphery to the Centre of Campaign Operations</i>	97
<i>Barack Obama's 2008 Presidential Campaign and the Realisation of a Hybrid Media Campaign: Message, Spectacle and Outreach</i>	100
<i>MyBo</i>	102
<i>The British Experience: The 'First Internet Campaign'— Predictions and Party Pretensions</i>	105
<i>UK Party Philosophies and the Practical Employment of the Social Media in the 2010 General Election Campaign</i>	106
<i>The Social Media and the Mass Media: News Stories, Twitter Feeds, Journalistic Blogging and the Online Coverage of the UK Prime Ministerial Election Debates</i>	110
<i>The Social Media and the 2015 UK General Election: The Political Parties' Hybrid 'Old' and 'New' Media Information Campaigns</i>	111
<i>Sentiment Analysis: Micro-Targeting versus Community Organising—The Competing Conservative and Labour Parties' Social Media Tactics</i>	114
<i>Conclusion</i>	116
<i>Bibliography</i>	117
6 The Public Sphere and Network Democracy: The Arab Spring, WikiLeaks and the Edward Snowden Revelations	123
<i>Introduction</i>	123
<i>The Democratic Values of the Internet: From the Dutiful Citizen to the Networked Individual</i>	126
<i>The Networked Society and Social Revolution</i>	128
<i>The Arab Spring: The Tunisian and Egyptian Social Media Revolutions</i>	132

	<i>WikiLeaks, Afghanistan and Iraq War Logs, Cablegate and Edward Snowden's National Security Agency Revelations</i>	135
	<i>A Critique of the Social Media: Individualism, Unreliability, Polarisation and the Reconfiguration of Political Power?</i>	140
	<i>Conclusion</i>	143
	<i>Bibliography</i>	145
7	Public Diplomacy 2.0 and the Social Media	149
	<i>Introduction</i>	149
	<i>PCD: Propaganda and Public Relations</i>	151
	<i>Public Diplomacy 2.0: The Facilitation of a 'Conversation'—Cultural, Democratic and Soft Power</i>	153
	<i>Public Diplomacy and the USA: The Bush Doctrine, the 'Shared Values Initiative' and the War on Terror</i>	156
	<i>James K. Glassman and Public Diplomacy 2.0</i>	157
	<i>Barack Obama's Digital Diplomacy: Twenty-first Century Statecraft—Dialogue and Outreach</i>	159
	<i>UK Public Diplomacy 2.0: Nation Branding and Global Outreach</i>	163
	<i>The Social Media and NGOs: Mobilization, Agenda-Setting and Online Campaigning</i>	165
	<i>The KONY 2012 Campaign</i>	166
	<i>Conclusion</i>	168
	<i>Bibliography</i>	171
Part III	The Rise of the BRICS and On-line Interest	175
8	Russia and China: Autocratic and On-line	177
	<i>Introduction</i>	177
	<i>Internet and Social Media Take-up in Russia and China</i>	178
	<i>Internet and Social Media Take-up in Russia</i>	178
	<i>Internet and Social Media Take-up in China</i>	180
	<i>Russia and China: The Historical and Political Context</i>	183
	<i>From the Soviet Union to Putin's Russia</i>	183
	<i>The Traditional Media and the Role of the ICT in Social Movements</i>	186

	<i>Internet Regulation and Online Censorship</i>	189
	<i>The Gated China</i>	191
	<i>Internet Censorship</i>	195
	<i>Conclusion</i>	198
	<i>Bibliography</i>	200
9	India and South Africa; Post-colonial Power, Democratization and the Online Community	203
	<i>Introduction</i>	203
	<i>India and South Africa: Historical and Political Context</i>	205
	<i>India and South Africa: Escalation of the Internet and the Take-up of the Social Media</i>	206
	<i>Online Political Communications within India and South Africa</i>	209
	<i>The Social Media and Election Campaigns in India and South Africa</i>	211
	<i>The 2014 Indian Lok Sabha Parliamentary General Election and the Social Media</i>	212
	<i>The South African Perspective: The 2014 National Assembly General Election</i>	214
	<i>Social Movements, Protests and Censorship on the Internet in India and South Africa</i>	217
	<i>India: Online Dialogues about Political Corruption, Protests and Social Reform</i>	217
	<i>South African Social Movements and Electronic Communications</i>	220
	<i>Online Censorship and Free Speech in India and South Africa</i>	221
	<i>Conclusion</i>	224
	<i>Bibliography</i>	226
10	Japan, South Korea, Brazil: Post-industrial Societies; Hard and Software	229
	<i>Introduction</i>	231
	<i>East Asia and Latin America: The Historical, Economic and Political Context</i>	231
	<i>Japan</i>	235
	<i>The Digital Media Environment</i>	235
	<i>Japan's Social Media Landscape</i>	236
	<i>Social Networking Through a Crisis: The Role of Social Media in the 2011 Japan Earthquake and Tsunami</i>	238

<i>Social Media and Political Campaigning</i>	240
<i>South Korea</i>	242
<i>Korea's Social Media Landscape</i>	243
<i>Internet Regulation</i>	244
<i>Election Campaigns and Social Media</i>	246
<i>Brazil</i>	248
<i>Online and Social Media</i>	248
<i>The Changing Social and Political Landscape</i>	249
<i>The Use of Social Media in Brazilian Elections</i>	252
<i>Conclusion</i>	255
11 The Social Media and the Middle East	257
<i>Introduction</i>	257
<i>The Middle East: Historical and Political Context</i>	259
<i>The Middle East Social Media Penetration, Economic Opportunity and Online News Provision</i>	261
<i>The Social Media and Political Movements: Opportunities and Repression in Iran and Turkey</i>	264
<i>The 2014 Gaza Dispute and the Social Media: Israel Defense Forces versus Hamas</i>	268
<i>Terrorists and Social Media: ISIS, Holy War and a Worldwide Caliphate</i>	272
<i>The Online Marketing of Brand ISIS: The 'One Billion Campaign', 'Cool Jihad' Twitter Trending and International Recruitment</i>	275
<i>The Online Battleground: The Removal of ISIS' Social Network Accounts and Its Response</i>	277
<i>Conclusion</i>	278
<i>Bibliography</i>	280
12 Conclusion	285
<i>Bibliography</i>	287
Index	289

LIST OF TABLES

Table 8.1	Top ten social networks among Internet users in Russia (March 2014)	180
Table 8.2	Social media landscape in China (end of 2013)	182
Table 10.1	Top five social networks in Japan (May 2014)	237
Table 10.2	Top four social networks in Korea (end of 2014)	243
Table 10.3	Top five social networks in Brazil (mid-2014)	249
Table 11.1	Internet usage and penetration in MENA countries, June 2014	262

Introduction

There has been widespread discussion about the political and economic potential of online media and social networks, their contribution to changes in working and living practices, and growth rates, alongside their enhancement of democratic practices, public sphere and civic cultures, and citizen responsibility and participation. In particular, Web 2.0—the second generation of the World Wide Web, focused on the public collaboration and sharing of information online—has facilitated computer-mediated tools that allow for the creation and exchange of ideas across virtual communities. This emergence of so-called social media has provided the technological and ideological foundation for the production of user-generated content.

These changes have gone hand in hand with the rise of an era in modern politics which has been described as either post-democracy or late modernity. Several political sociologists have defined the period as one characterised by major transformations in democratic values (Beck 1992; Giddens 1991; Lash 1990). Henrik Bang (2004) has argued a discursive form of political activism in which solidarity exists but is not tied to any notion of the common good or of a particular ideology. Bang contends that new types of representation have emerged outside the mainstream political institutions, as citizens have only minimal interest in party politics. Rather than aspire to the duties of citizenship, these virtuous ‘everyday makers’ want to feel ‘involved’ in their communities and are taking part